In: KSC-BC-2020-07

The Prosecutor v. Hysni Gucati and Nasim Haradinaj

Before: Trial Panel II

Judge Charles L. Smith, III, Presiding Judge

Judge Christoph Barthe

Judge Guénaël Mettraux

Judge Fergal Gaynor, Reserve Judge

Registrar: Dr Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Specialist Prosecutor

Date: 27 August 2021

Language: English

Classification: Public

Public redacted version of

'Prosecution notification and request to provide summary'

Specialist Prosecutor's Office Counsel for Mr Gucati

Jack Smith Jonathan Elystan Rees

Counsel for Mr Haradinaj

Toby Cadman

PUBLIC

Date original: 05/07/2021 17:31:00 Date public redacted version: 27/08/2021 13:23:00

As part of its ongoing Rule 1031 obligations, and in light of recent Defence inter 1.

partes disclosure requests, the Specialist Prosecutor's Office ('SPO') conducted a

further review of its collection for information related to the credibility of information

provided by (potential) SPO witnesses relevant to the charge of intimidation or

retaliation. This review has generated a small number of additional disclosable items.

One of these was disclosed with the same identity redactions previously authorised.²

2. The remaining materials identified in the course of this review concern

[REDACTED]. On [REDACTED], the (potential) witness informed the SPO that he

had security concerns following the unauthorised publication of his name by the

accused.3 The official note memorialising this contact was disclosed with redactions

to the Defence. [REDACTED].4

3. [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. During his SPO interview, [REDACTED]

acknowledged that this claim was not true. 5 He explained that at the time he was

subject to intimidation and feared for his safety and that of his family.⁶ [REDACTED].⁷

4. Nonetheless, despite such context, [REDACTED]'s prior false claim relating to

a security matter could be construed as falling under Rule 103 in the context of this

case where certain of the matters at issue relate to stated security concerns.

5. [REDACTED].8 In order to balance the protection of [REDACTED] with the

rights of the accused in this case, the SPO requests to provide a summary of

¹ Rule 103 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence Before the Kosovo Specialist Chambers, KSC-BD-03/Rev3/2020, 2 June 2020 ('Rules'). All references to 'Rule' or 'Rules' herein refer to the Rules, unless

otherwise specified.

² Disclosure Package 37. See also Decision on Non-Disclosure of Certain Witness Contacts, KSC-BC-

2020-07/F00136, 22 February 2021, Confidential.

³ Official Note of Contact on [REDACTED], 091907-091908 RED2. ⁴ Official Note of Contact on [REDACTED], 091907-091908 RED2, p.091907.

⁵ [REDACTED].

⁶ [REDACTED].

⁷ [REDACTED].

8 [REDACTED].

PUBLIC Date original: 05/07/2021 17:31:00

Date public redacted version: 27/08/2021 13:23:00

[REDACTED]'s potentially exculpatory information relevant to this case. No undue

prejudice would be caused, as: (i) the summary would provide all potentially

exculpatory information; and (ii) [REDACTED] only plays a small role in this case -

he is not being called as a witness and the evidence of his security concerns is

cumulative in light of all other official notes disclosed.

6. This application is filed confidential and ex parte in order to protect

[REDACTED]'s identity [REDACTED]. A confidential redacted version will be filed.

7. For the foregoing reasons, the SPO requests to disclose the summary contained

as Annex 1 of the present application to the Defence in lieu of [REDACTED]'s prior

statements.

Word count: 412

Jack Smith

Specialist Prosecutor

Friday, 27 August 2021

At The Hague, the Netherlands